

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/742,036	12/20/2000	Toshiyuki Matsuzaki	TIJ-29142	8675
23494 75	590 01/10/2005		EXAMINER	
TEXAS INST	RUMENTS INCORPO	SHAPIRO, LEONID		
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			C	
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2673	

DATE MAILED: 01/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/742,036	MATSUZAKI, TO	MATSUZAKI, TOSHIYUKI		
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Leonid Shapiro	2673			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - External effect of the control of the contr	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION mailing of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a son. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>30 December 1899</u> .				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-10,12,20 and Claim(s) 14-19 and 21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11, 13, 22, 24-26 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and claim(s) are subject.	23 is/are withdrawn from con	sideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the common the oath or declaration is objected to by the common transfer of					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this Nationa	ıl Stage		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		/ Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S er No(s)/Mail Date		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT 	(O-152)		

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 11, 22, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cha et al. (US Patent No. 6,519,020 B1) in view of Mical et al. (US Patent No. 6,191,772 B1) and Chen (US Patent No. 6,456,353 B1).

As to claim 11, Cha et al. teaches a module for a display device comprising:

a wiring substrate (See Figs. 3, 5 item 62, 92, in description See Col. 5,

Lines 27-31 and from Col. 5, Line 66 to Col. 6, Line 1),

a plurality of integrated circuits mounted on the wiring substrate, each integrated circuit having inputs coupled to n input terminals (where n is a natural number and n >= 2) to receive a data signals (See Fig. 5, items 92, 94, 106, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-27), each of the integrated circuits having the inputs arranged linearly in a row along a first side and the output on a second side parallel to the first side, the second side facing the display device, the first side facing away from the display device (See Fig. 5, items 92, 94, 106, in description See Col. 6, Lines 1-27).

Cha et al. does not teach the single level of wiring on wiring substrate connected to the n input terminals to couple data signals are being parallel lines.

Chen teaches the single level of wiring (See Fig. 5, items 506) on wiring substrate (See Fig. 5, items 504) connected to the n input terminals (See Fig. 5, items 508) to couple data signals are being parallel lines (See Fig. 5, items 502, 504, 506, Col. 4, Lines 13-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement Chen approach in the Cha et al. apparatus in order to reduce cost, size and thickness (See Col. 2, Lines 45-54 in Chen reference).

Cha et al. and Chen do not teach switching circuit generating n output signals coupled to a drive signal generation circuit for driving the display device, the switching circuit sequentially connects first through n-th input terminals to first through n-th output terminals respectively when a control signal is at the first logical level and sequentially connects first through n-th input terminals to first through m-th output terminals respectively when a control signal is at the second logical level.

Mical et al. teaches cross-over unit which can place appropriate even or oddnumbered pixel signals on respective side buses (See Fig. 1, items 150, 151, 154, in description See Col. 13, Lines 46-63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement Mical et al. approach in the Cha et al. and Chen apparatus applying it to first through n-th input terminals to first through n-th output terminals respectively in order to reduce the number of parts (See Col. 3, Lines 51-54 in Mical et al. reference).

As to claim 22, Mical et al., Cha et al. and Chen do not show control signal coupled to the plurality of integrated circuits is at the first logic level for one integrated circuit of a pair of integrated circuits and is at second logic level for another integrated circuit of the pair.

Since even and odd drivers connected in series (See Figs. 1-2, items LR#0, LR#2, LR#1, LR#3, Col. 10, Lines 44-56 in Mica et al. reference), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the first logic level for one integrated circuit of a pair and second logic level for another integrated circuit of the pair in the Cha et al., Mical et al. and Chen apparatus in order to reduce the number of parts (See Col. 3, Lines 51-54 in Mical et al. reference).

As to claim 25, Chen teaches the wiring for the first integrated circuit approaches the integrated circuit on the wiring substrate from a first direction (See Fig. 5, item 508, Col. 4, Lines 12-32) and the wiring for the second integrated circuit approaches the integrated circuit on the wiring substrate from a second direction opposite to the first direction (See Fig. 5, item 506, Col. 4, Lines 12-32 and Fig. 6b, item 606b, Col. 4, Lines 57-68).

2. Claim 13, 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen, Cha et al. and Mical et al. as aforementioned in claims 11, and 22 in view of Voisin et al. (US Patent No. 5, 680, 191).

Sasaki et al., Cha et al. and Mical et al. do not show the first substrate is a flexible substrate.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,036 Page 5

Art Unit: 2673

Voisin et al. teaches the first substrate is a flexible substrate (See Figs. 3-4, item 50, in description See Col. 11, Lines 12-15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement approach as shown by Voisin et al. in Chen, Cha et al. and Mical et al. apparatus.

3. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen, Cha et al. and Mical et al. as aforementioned in claim 22 in view of Udo et al. (US Patent No. 6,304,241 B1).

Chen, Cha et al. and Mical et al. do not show the wiring between input terminals and switching circuit comprise a continuous line between a first terminal, an input to the switching circuit and a second terminal.

Udo et al. teaches the a continuous line between a first terminal, an input to the switching circuit and a second terminal (See Fig. 25, items Ma1, Mb1, 352, Col. 18, Lines 56-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate teaching of Udo et al. in Chen, Cha et al. and Mical et al. system in order to simplify manufacturing process, reduce the production cost.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 14-19, 21 are allowed.

5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Relative to claims 14, 18-19, 21 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Cha et al., Mical et al. and Chen) and the instant invention is that the said prior art **does not teach** the wiring for the first integrated circuit approaches the integrated circuit on the wiring substrate from a first direction and a wiring for the second integrated circuit approaches the integrated circuit on the wiring substrate from a second direction opposite to the first direction.

Relative to claims 15-17 the major difference between the teaching of the prior art of record (Cha et al., Mical et al. and Chen) and the instant invention is that the said prior art **does not teach** wiring between the n-input terminals and the switching circuit comprise a continuous line between a first terminal, an input to the switching circuit and a second input terminal.

Response to Arguments

6. In response to applicant's argument on page 9, 1st paragraph of Remarks that Mica et al. is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Mica et al. reference is reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned.

Application/Control Number: 09/742,036 Page 7

Art Unit: 2673

Response to Amendment

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 10-04-04 with respect to claims 11, 13-22, 24-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Telephone inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leonid Shapiro whose telephone number is 703-305-5661. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m. to 5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

01.04.04

Ls

VIJAY SHANKAR PRIMARY EXAMINER